

Cover Sheet for FY 2011 Regional Allocations

A. Population and Poverty Data updated for FY 2011 Allocations

The Regional Allocation Methodology adopted by the Board of First Things First in the summer of 2008 was used to determine the FY 2011 allocations. The methodology is the same as used to set the FY 2010 allocations, i.e. by calculating the estimated number of children birth through age five within each Regional Partnership Council and applying the poverty rate estimated for families with young children to that estimated population using the latest Bureau of the Census data. This produces the basis for what we refer to as the "Population-Based Allocation" as prescribed in FTF statutes.

Staff recommends a 1% increase above the total amount allocated for regional programs in FY 2010 (\$121.5 million) for a new total allocation of \$122,715,000. As required by statute, FTF staff has broken down the allocation of the \$122,715,000 as follows:

- Thirty-five percent based on population 0-5 (\$43,026,000)
- Forty percent based on population 0-5 whose families are living in poverty (\$49,086,000)
- Twenty-five percent at the Board's discretion (\$30,603,000)

There have been numerous shifts in population due to estimated growth/decline within certain geographies, with significant changes in poverty rates compared to the prior year. These alterations come from new estimates for 2008 population (released in early August) and 2007 poverty rates (released last March) by the Bureau of the Census. This data is the latest population information available. The population estimate for 2008 was increased by the average per year to obtain the population counts for children five and under for each region as shown on the accompanying schedules. No adjustments were made to poverty percentage information. In many cases, more current information surrounding poverty is not available (e.g. most Tribal Lands and smaller counties).

Overall, population for children birth through five is estimated to have grown to nearly 644,000 children, which is more than 4% growth compared to last year's number. Poverty figures grew by more than 8%, with just under 150,000 children five and under estimated to be living in families within federal poverty guidelines. These figures likely represent more than just one year of growth, however, as U.S. Census figures also revise previous year's estimates when publishing new data.

Below are summary explanations for those Regional Partnership Councils most impacted by these fluctuations in growth and poverty rates. **Table I** also summarizes many of the declines in Population-Based Allocation.

Cochise Regional Partnership Council

Two factors contributed to the nearly \$350,000 reduction in allocation for Cochise Regional Partnership Council. First, although growth occurred in the region, it was not as rapid as in other areas of the state. Particularly considering revisions to previous years, the overall growth rate from 2000 to July 2007, was now slightly less than previously reported. Secondly, the Poverty Rate as gathered from the 2006 American Community Survey was approximately 31.62 percent. Update poverty information from the 2007 American Community Survey showed a poverty rate of approximately 25.33 percent. This created a net reduction of more than 600 children identified as living in poverty, and given the weight placed on children in poverty this reduced the overall allocation significantly.

Coconino Regional Partnership Council

This Regional Partnership Council experienced approximately \$350,000 in reduction from the previous year, or more than 22 percent in total reduction. Like Cochise Regional Partnership Council, this Regional Council saw most of its reduction based on a revised poverty rate. The 2006 American Community Survey reported a poverty rate among children 0-5 of nearly 25 percent, while the updated 2007 American Community Survey reported a rate of 14.09 percent. This reduction, coupled with slightly less rapid growth based on revised Population Estimate Program figures, has resulted in this reduced allocation.

Gila Regional Partnership Council

The Gila Regional Partnership Council experienced a decline in the population-based allocation of nearly \$50,000, or approximately 11.12 percent. There were no changes to the poverty rate calculations as updated data from the American Community Survey is not available (the County is too small in population to be included in these data). As with last year the poverty rate of 19.97 percent was used from the 2000 Census data. However, the decline in population over what was shown last year (an estimate of 2,949 for 2009 as opposed to an estimate of 3,154 for 2008) is due in large part to substantial revisions of previous years' population estimates, which decreased population growth or estimated decreases in the population of young children outright.

La Paz/Mohave Regional Partnership Council

This Regional Partnership Council's reduction of nearly 6.75 percent or slightly more than \$181,000 was due to a significant revision in population growth numbers for young children from what was previously reported by the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program (PEP). This led to a 2009 estimate of children 0-5 for Mohave County of 15,129 where the previous year's estimate had been 15,386. This is due in large part to population growth estimates for young children in Mohave County from 2007 to 2008, where it was anticipated to show continuing growth but the Census Bureau reported a decline in population of approximately 1.1 percent. Coupled with prior year adjustments and a similar decline in La Paz County, this led to a smaller overall population. Given that other areas grew much more rapidly, it is a byproduct that La Paz /Mohave Regional Partnership Council's allocation was diminished.

Central Pima, North Pima and South Pima Regional Partnership Councils

Despite Pima County experiencing continued strong growth in the 0-5 population, two Regional Councils within Pima County saw decreases in their overall allocations with all three experiencing reductions in their population-based allocations. This is a function of the weight given to the population of children 0-5 living in poverty, and a corresponding reduction in the estimate for poverty for the entire County. By Census Bureau estimates, Central Pima Regional Council holds nearly 62 percent of all of the children 0-5 living in poverty within the County. Likewise the South Pima Regional Partnership Council holds nearly 32 percent of the same population. Since the estimated poverty rate for young children in Pima County reduced from the 2006 American Community Survey figure of 25.87 percent to the 2007 estimate of 23.22 percent, the bulk of reductions were borne by Central and South Pima Regional Councils. Conversely, North Pima Regional Partnership Council--which holds very little of the children in poverty within Pima County--saw a smaller decrease due to the overall population increase. In sum, the reductions in poverty population outweighed any gains in overall population experienced by these two Regional Partnership Councils.

Yuma Regional Partnership Council

This Regional Council too experienced just short of \$300,000 in population-based allocation reductions mostly due to a revised poverty rate. The 2006 American Community Survey reported a poverty rate for families with children 0-5 of 29.94 percent while the 2007 American Community Survey reported a poverty rate of 28.77 percent for the same population. This reduction, coupled with revised growth rate estimates that were by far reduced, led to the decrease in allocation of more than \$293,000.

B. Discretionary Funds

There is \$30,603,000 of discretionary funds available for allocation for FY 2011. For FY 2010, about \$128,000 was allocated to the Cocopah and Hualapai Regional Councils to help them implement at least one strategy within their region. "Frontier Community" adjustments were provided to 18 regions for a total of \$6.3 million. The rest of discretionary funds were used to finance the emergency response programs to help mitigate some of the adverse effects of the economic downturn on families. It is recommended that the Frontier Community adjustment be continued, but the use of discretionary funds for baseline adjustments and other uses by regions be changed somewhat.

Baseline Adjustment

As part of the Discretionary allocations staff recommends an enhanced Baseline Adjustment over what was offered last year (See **Table II**). For FY 2010 a baseline adjustment was apportioned to both Cocopah and Hualapai Regional Partnership Councils to ensure the successful execution of at least one strategy. For FY 2011, staff recommends providing a similar baseline adjustment for these two Regional Councils plus one percent. In addition, staff recommends a second baseline adjustment for those Regional Councils that saw a decrease in their Population-based allocation to make up 50 percent of the decrease in dollars.

Frontier Community Adjustment

Similar to FY 2010 allocations, staff recommends providing an adjustment for those Regional Partnership Councils residing in Geographies designated as “Frontier Communities” by the National Center for Frontier Communities to help offset the additional costs experienced by rural communities when trying to deliver services. As with the previous year, these allocations are based on each Regional Council’s population of children 0-5 as a proportion of the total 0-5 population among *all* Frontier Community Regional Councils. The total amount allocated equates to the FY 2010 Frontier Community adjustment total plus one percent (\$6,363,000).

Other Discretionary

Remaining Discretionary Funds available (\$22,752,660) after the previous two adjustments should be distributed among Regional Councils to be used to address unmet needs or expand existing strategies. **Table III** shows the potential allocation of the funds by either distributing on a ratio based on each Regional Council’s percentage of the total 0-5 population or based on each Regional Council’s percentage of the total Population-based dollars allocated, including the distribution based on poverty counts.

C. Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends the Board of First Things First accept the boundary changes and population allocations as presented (**See Table IV**). Also, staff recommends that the Board of First Things First allocate discretionary funds by providing a baseline adjustment for Regional Councils to implement a full strategy and Regional Councils with a decrease in their Population-Based Allocation from FY 2010, the Frontier Community Adjustment and Other Discretionary funds using the percentage of each Regional Council’s total Population-based dollars allocated. Refer to **Table IV** for a summary of these recommendations.

DRAFT - For Board Review

Table I: Comparison of Population Allocations for FY 2010 and 2011

REGION	FY 2010 Allocation = \$121,500,000			FY 2011 Allocation = \$122,715,000			PERCENTAGE CHANGE
	ESTIMATED FUNDING POVERTY FY 2010	ESTIMATED REGIONAL FUNDING (excluding discretionary) FY 2010	ESTIMATED FUNDING POVERTY FY 2011	ESTIMATED REGIONAL FUNDING (excluding discretionary) FY 2011	ESTIMATED FUNDING POVERTY FY 2011	ESTIMATED REGIONAL FUNDING (excluding discretionary) FY 2011	
	\$42,600,000	\$48,600,000	\$43,026,000	\$52,112,000	\$49,086,000	\$52,112,000	
Cochise RPC	\$ 755,680	\$ 1,218,796	\$ 737,770	\$ 1,653,154	\$ 915,394	\$ 1,653,154	-16.27%
Cocopah Tribe RPC	\$ 3,927	\$ 15,463	\$ 4,678	\$ 22,357	\$ 17,679	\$ 22,357	15.30%
Cocoonino RPC	\$ 696,014	\$ 884,927	\$ 723,869	\$ 1,223,467	\$ 499,598	\$ 1,223,467	-22.61%
Colorado River Indian Tribes RPC	\$ 50,847	\$ 85,400	\$ 56,273	\$ 147,288	\$ 91,015	\$ 147,288	8.10%
Gila River Indian Community RPC	\$ 119,952	\$ 390,450	\$ 170,825	\$ 705,127	\$ 534,301	\$ 705,127	38.15%
Gila RPC	\$ 217,306	\$ 221,407	\$ 197,091	\$ 389,924	\$ 192,833	\$ 389,924	-11.12%
Graham/Greenlee RPC	\$ 214,068	\$ 227,382	\$ 241,535	\$ 488,387	\$ 246,853	\$ 488,387	10.63%
Hualapai Tribe RPC	\$ 11,024	\$ 21,789	\$ 11,829	\$ 34,092	\$ 22,263	\$ 34,092	3.90%
La Paz/Mohave RPC	\$ 1,100,242	\$ 1,595,188	\$ 1,048,745	\$ 2,513,818	\$ 1,465,073	\$ 2,513,818	-6.74%
Navajo Nation RPC	\$ 804,322	\$ 1,797,618	\$ 848,112	\$ 2,762,692	\$ 1,914,580	\$ 2,762,692	6.18%
Navajo/Apache RPC	\$ 427,722	\$ 333,868	\$ 406,011	\$ 804,445	\$ 398,434	\$ 804,445	5.63%
Pasqua/ Yaqui RPC	\$ 28,937	\$ 75,911	\$ 43,442	\$ 153,117	\$ 109,676	\$ 153,117	46.04%
Pinal RPC	\$ 2,038,847	\$ 2,533,183	\$ 2,172,944	\$ 4,078,030	\$ 1,905,086	\$ 4,078,030	-10.80%
Salt River/Pima Maricopa Indian Community RPC	\$ 56,635	\$ 114,218	\$ 82,004	\$ 240,789	\$ 158,794	\$ 240,789	40.93%
San Carlos Apache RPC	\$ 80,060	\$ 222,913	\$ 96,306	\$ 353,635	\$ 257,329	\$ 353,635	16.76%
Santa Cruz RPC	\$ 342,770	\$ 571,091	\$ 325,143	\$ 845,367	\$ 520,224	\$ 845,367	-7.50%
Tohono O'odham Nation RPC	\$ 82,265	\$ 194,347	\$ 122,772	\$ 401,382	\$ 278,609	\$ 401,382	45.11%
White Mountain Apache RPC	\$ 114,716	\$ 311,728	\$ 127,117	\$ 456,763	\$ 331,647	\$ 456,763	7.58%
Yavapai RPC	\$ 996,480	\$ 1,151,670	\$ 1,001,293	\$ 2,520,386	\$ 1,519,092	\$ 2,520,386	17.33%
Yuma RPC	\$ 1,459,272	\$ 2,228,835	\$ 1,408,975	\$ 3,394,599	\$ 1,986,624	\$ 3,394,599	-7.96%
Central Pima RPC	\$ 2,942,039	\$ 4,656,586	\$ 2,970,530	\$ 7,008,566	\$ 4,038,036	\$ 7,008,566	-7.77%
North Pima RPC	\$ 1,217,989	\$ 460,036	\$ 1,229,795	\$ 1,628,885	\$ 399,089	\$ 1,628,885	-2.93%
South Pima RPC	\$ 1,472,363	\$ 2,315,641	\$ 1,466,050	\$ 3,474,264	\$ 2,008,214	\$ 3,474,264	-8.28%
Central Maricopa RPC	\$ 3,649,352	\$ 2,090,016	\$ 3,626,096	\$ 5,894,585	\$ 2,268,489	\$ 5,894,585	2.70%
Central Phoenix RPC	\$ 3,790,318	\$ 7,382,359	\$ 3,766,111	\$ 11,779,651	\$ 8,013,540	\$ 11,779,651	5.43%
North Phoenix RPC	\$ 4,513,547	\$ 3,357,662	\$ 4,484,767	\$ 8,129,279	\$ 3,544,512	\$ 8,129,279	3.28%
Northwest Maricopa RPC	\$ 1,594,727	\$ 571,794	\$ 1,925,461	\$ 2,546,194	\$ 620,733	\$ 2,546,194	17.52%
Southwest Maricopa RPC	\$ 3,506,043	\$ 2,822,770	\$ 3,483,674	\$ 6,547,723	\$ 3,064,049	\$ 6,547,723	3.46%
South Phoenix RPC	\$ 4,050,893	\$ 6,731,139	\$ 4,025,089	\$ 11,331,465	\$ 7,306,376	\$ 11,331,465	5.10%
Southeast Maricopa RPC	\$ 5,412,742	\$ 3,056,829	\$ 5,378,192	\$ 8,696,295	\$ 3,318,104	\$ 8,696,295	2.68%
Southwest Maricopa RPC	\$ 848,900	\$ 959,081	\$ 843,500	\$ 1,884,275	\$ 1,040,775	\$ 1,884,275	4.22%
TOTALS	\$42,600,000	\$48,600,000	\$43,026,000	\$52,112,000	\$49,086,000	\$52,112,000	
AMOUNT PER CHILD			\$66.83		\$327.39		

DRAFT - For Board Review

Table II - FY 2011 Proposed Baseline Adjustment

REGION	PREVIOUS ALLOCATIONS FY 2010	ESTIMATED REGIONAL FUNDING (excluding discretionary) FY 2011	PERCENTAGE CHANGE	DISCRETIONARY FUNDING
	\$91,200,000	\$92,112,000		
Cochise RPC	\$ 1,974,475	\$ 1,653,154	-16.27%	160,660
Cocopah Tribe RPC	\$ 19,391	\$ 22,357	16.30%	51,000
Coconino RPC	\$ 1,580,941	\$ 1,223,467	-22.61%	178,737
Colorado River Indian Tribes RPC	\$ 136,247	\$ 147,288	8.10%	
Gila River Indian Community RPC	\$ 510,403	\$ 705,127	38.15%	
Gila RPC	\$ 438,714	\$ 389,924	-11.22%	24,395
Graham/Greenlee RPC	\$ 441,450	\$ 488,387	10.63%	
Hualapai Tribe RPC	\$ 32,813	\$ 34,092	3.90%	77,270
La Paz/Mohave RPC	\$ 2,696,430	\$ 2,513,818	-6.74%	90,806
Navajo Nation RPC	\$ 2,601,940	\$ 2,762,692	6.18%	
Navajo/Apache RPC	\$ 761,591	\$ 804,445	5.63%	
Pascua Yaqui RPC	\$ 104,849	\$ 153,117	46.04%	
Pinal RPC	\$ 4,572,030	\$ 4,078,030	-10.80%	247,000
Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community RPC	\$ 170,853	\$ 240,789	40.93%	
San Carlos Apache RPC	\$ 302,873	\$ 353,635	16.76%	
Santa Cruz RPC	\$ 913,961	\$ 845,367	-7.50%	34,247
Tohono O'odham Nation RPC	\$ 276,612	\$ 401,382	45.11%	
White Mountain Apache RPC	\$ 426,444	\$ 458,763	7.58%	
Yavapai RPC	\$ 2,148,151	\$ 2,520,366	17.33%	
Yuma RPC	\$ 3,688,108	\$ 3,394,599	-7.96%	146,754
Central Pima RPC	\$ 7,598,625	\$ 7,008,566	-7.77%	295,030
North Pima RPC	\$ 1,678,025	\$ 1,628,885	-2.93%	24,570
South Pima RPC	\$ 3,788,004	\$ 3,474,264	-8.28%	156,870
Central Maricopa RPC	\$ 5,739,368	\$ 5,894,585	2.70%	
Central Phoenix RPC	\$ 11,172,677	\$ 11,779,651	5.43%	
North Phoenix RPC	\$ 7,871,209	\$ 8,129,279	3.28%	
Northeast Maricopa RPC	\$ 2,166,620	\$ 2,546,194	17.52%	
Northwest Maricopa RPC	\$ 6,328,813	\$ 6,547,723	3.46%	
South Phoenix RPC	\$ 10,782,032	\$ 11,331,465	5.10%	
Southeast Maricopa RPC	\$ 8,469,572	\$ 8,696,295	2.68%	
Southwest Maricopa RPC	\$ 1,807,981	\$ 1,884,275	4.22%	
TOTALS	\$91,200,000	\$92,112,000		\$ 1,487,340

DRAFT - For Board Review

Table III - Other Discretionary

REGION	FY 2011 Allocation = \$122,715,000					ESTIMATED REGIONAL FUNDING (excluding discretionary) FY 2011	% of Allocation	% of Population
	POPULATION 0-5	POPULATION 0-5	POVERTY POPULATION 0-5	ESTIMATED FUNDING FY 2011	ESTIMATED FUNDING POVERTY FY 2011			
	2000	2009	2009	\$43,026,000	\$49,886,000	\$92,112,000	60.80%	Other Discretionary
	9,588	11,039	2,796	\$ 737,770	\$ 915,384	\$ 1,653,154		390,142
Cochise RPC	79	54	54	\$ 4,678	\$ 17,679	\$ 22,357		5,523
Cocopah Tribe RPC	8,790	10,831	1,526	\$ 723,869	\$ 499,598	\$ 1,223,467		302,210
Cocconino RPC	720	842	278	\$ 56,273	\$ 91,015	\$ 147,288		36,382
Colorado River Indian Tribes RPC	1,429	2,556	1,632	\$ 170,825	\$ 534,301	\$ 705,127		29,758
Cila River Indian Community RPC	3,006	2,949	589	\$ 197,091	\$ 192,833	\$ 389,924		90,334
Cila RPC	3,372	3,614	754	\$ 241,535	\$ 246,853	\$ 488,387		104,224
Graham/Greenlee RPC	157	177	68	\$ 11,829	\$ 22,263	\$ 34,092		127,726
Hualapai Tribe RPC	11,736	15,692	4,475	\$ 1,048,745	\$ 1,465,073	\$ 2,513,818		6,256
La Paz/Mohave RPC	12,323	12,690	5,848	\$ 848,112	\$ 1,914,500	\$ 2,762,692		620,940
Navajo Nation RPC	5,299	6,075	1,217	\$ 406,011	\$ 398,434	\$ 804,445		448,492
Navajo/Apache RPC	450	850	335	\$ 43,442	\$ 109,576	\$ 153,117		214,703
Pasco Yaqui RPC	13,445	32,513	5,819	\$ 2,172,944	\$ 1,905,086	\$ 4,078,030		37,822
Pinal RPC	716	1,227	485	\$ 82,004	\$ 158,784	\$ 240,789		1,149,079
Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community RPC	1,198	1,441	786	\$ 96,306	\$ 257,329	\$ 353,635		59,477
San Carlos Apache RPC	4,017	4,865	1,589	\$ 325,143	\$ 520,224	\$ 845,367		87,352
Santa Cruz RPC	1,242	1,837	851	\$ 122,772	\$ 278,609	\$ 401,382		208,815
Tohono O'odham Nation RPC	1,594	1,902	1,013	\$ 127,117	\$ 331,647	\$ 458,763		99,146
White Mountain Apache RPC	10,485	14,982	4,640	\$ 1,001,293	\$ 1,519,092	\$ 2,520,386		113,319
Yavapai RPC	15,362	21,082	6,065	\$ 1,408,975	\$ 1,985,624	\$ 3,394,599		622,562
Yuma RPC	34,618	44,447	12,334	\$ 2,970,530	\$ 4,038,036	\$ 7,008,566		838,503
Central Pima RPC	14,332	18,401	1,219	\$ 1,229,795	\$ 399,089	\$ 1,628,885		1,731,191
North Pima RPC	17,318	21,936	6,134	\$ 1,486,050	\$ 2,008,214	\$ 3,474,264		402,352
South Pima RPC	38,015	54,256	6,929	\$ 3,626,096	\$ 2,268,489	\$ 5,894,585		858,181
Central Maricopa RPC	39,483	56,351	24,477	\$ 3,766,111	\$ 8,013,540	\$ 11,779,651		1,456,026
North Phoenix RPC	47,017	67,104	11,132	\$ 4,484,767	\$ 3,644,512	\$ 8,129,279		2,909,701
North Phoenix RPC	20,186	28,810	1,896	\$ 1,925,461	\$ 620,733	\$ 2,546,194		2,008,020
Northeast Maricopa RPC	36,522	52,125	9,359	\$ 3,483,674	\$ 3,064,049	\$ 6,547,723		628,937
Northwest Maricopa RPC	42,198	60,226	22,317	\$ 4,025,089	\$ 7,306,376	\$ 11,331,465		1,617,358
South Phoenix RPC	56,384	80,472	10,135	\$ 5,378,192	\$ 3,318,104	\$ 8,696,295		2,798,994
Southeast Maricopa RPC	8,843	12,621	3,179	\$ 843,500	\$ 1,040,775	\$ 1,884,275		2,148,079
Southwest Maricopa RPC	459,923	643,783	149,931	\$43,026,000	\$49,086,000	\$92,112,000		465,436
TOTALS				\$66.83	\$327.39			\$ 22,752,660
AMOUNT PER CHILD								\$

DRAFT - For Board Review

Table IV - Proposed FY 2011 Regional Allocations

REGION	POPULATION 0-5	POPULATION 6-12	POVERTY POPULATION 0-5	ESTIMATED FUNDING FY 2011	ESTIMATED FUNDING POVERTY FY 2011	ESTIMATED REGIONAL FUNDING (excluding discretionary) FY 2011	DISCRETIONARY FUNDING				Total Allocation
							Baseline Adjustment	Frontier Community	Other Discretionary		
	2090	2409	2009	\$4,125,000	\$49,086,000	\$92,112,000	160,660	455,968	408,347		2,678,130
Cochise RPC	9,588	11,039	2,796	\$ 737,770	\$ 915,384	\$ 1,653,154	\$ 51,000	\$ 8,806	\$ 5,523		\$ 87,686
Cocopah Tribe RPC	79	70	54	\$ 4,678	\$ 17,679	\$ 22,357	\$ 178,737	\$ 248,858	\$ 302,210		\$ 1,953,271
Coconino RPC	8,790	10,831	1,526	\$ 723,869	\$ 495,598	\$ 1,223,467		\$ 45,336	\$ 36,382		\$ 229,006
Colorado River Indian Tribes RPC	720	842	278	\$ 56,273	\$ 91,015	\$ 147,288			\$ 174,174		\$ 879,301
Gila River Indian Community RPC	1,429	2,556	1,632	\$ 170,825	\$ 534,301	\$ 705,127	\$ 24,395	\$ 96,053	\$ 96,315		\$ 606,687
Gila RPC	3,006	2,949	589	\$ 197,091	\$ 192,833	\$ 389,924		\$ 122,961	\$ 120,637		\$ 731,985
Graham/Greenlee RPC	3,372	3,614	754	\$ 241,535	\$ 246,853	\$ 488,387					\$ 1,008,872
Hualapai Tribe RPC	157	177	68	\$ 11,829	\$ 22,263	\$ 34,092	\$ 77,270	\$ 11,089	\$ 8,421		\$ 130,872
La Paz/Mohave RPC	11,736	15,692	4,475	\$ 1,048,745	\$ 1,465,073	\$ 2,513,818	\$ 90,806	\$ 729,777	\$ 620,940		\$ 3,955,341
Navajo Nation RPC	12,323	12,690	5,848	\$ 848,112	\$ 1,914,580	\$ 2,762,692		\$ 953,684	\$ 662,415		\$ 4,398,790
Navajo/Apache RPC	5,299	6,075	1,217	\$ 406,011	\$ 398,434	\$ 804,445		\$ 198,467	\$ 198,707		\$ 1,201,619
Pasqua Yaqui RPC	450	650	335	\$ 43,442	\$ 109,676	\$ 153,117		\$ 54,631	\$ 37,822		\$ 245,570
Pinal RPC	13,445	32,513	5,819	\$ 2,172,944	\$ 1,905,086	\$ 4,078,030	\$ 247,000		\$ 1,007,317		\$ 5,332,347
Salt River/Pima Maricopa Indian Community RPC	715	1,227	485	\$ 82,004	\$ 158,784	\$ 240,789					\$ 300,266
San Carlos Apache RPC	1,198	1,441	786	\$ 96,306	\$ 257,329	\$ 353,635		\$ 128,180	\$ 87,352		\$ 569,167
Santa Cruz RPC	4,017	4,865	1,589	\$ 325,143	\$ 520,224	\$ 845,367	\$ 34,247	\$ 259,132	\$ 208,815		\$ 1,347,560
Tohono O'odham Nation RPC	1,242	1,837	851	\$ 122,772	\$ 275,608	\$ 401,382		\$ 138,780	\$ 98,146		\$ 639,307
White Mountain Apache RPC	1,594	1,902	1,013	\$ 127,117	\$ 331,647	\$ 458,763		\$ 165,199	\$ 113,319		\$ 737,281
Yavapai RPC	10,485	14,982	4,640	\$ 1,001,293	\$ 1,519,092	\$ 2,520,386		\$ 756,685	\$ 622,562		\$ 3,899,633
Yuma RPC	15,362	21,082	6,065	\$ 1,408,975	\$ 1,985,624	\$ 3,394,599	\$ 146,754	\$ 989,072	\$ 839,503		\$ 5,368,928
Central Pima RPC	34,618	44,447	12,334	\$ 2,970,530	\$ 4,038,036	\$ 7,008,566	\$ 295,030		\$ 1,731,191		\$ 9,034,787
North Pima RPC	14,332	18,401	1,219	\$ 1,229,795	\$ 399,089	\$ 1,628,885	\$ 24,570		\$ 402,352		\$ 2,055,807
South Pima RPC	17,318	21,936	6,134	\$ 1,466,050	\$ 2,008,214	\$ 3,474,264	\$ 156,870	\$ 1,000,324	\$ 658,181		\$ 5,489,639
Central Maricopa RPC	38,015	54,256	6,929	\$ 3,626,096	\$ 2,266,489	\$ 5,894,585			\$ 1,456,026		\$ 7,350,611
Central Phoenix RPC	39,483	56,351	24,477	\$ 3,766,111	\$ 8,013,540	\$ 11,779,651			\$ 2,909,701		\$ 14,689,352
North Phoenix RPC	47,017	67,104	11,132	\$ 4,484,767	\$ 3,644,512	\$ 8,129,279			\$ 2,008,020		\$ 10,137,299
Northeast Maricopa RPC	20,186	28,810	1,896	\$ 1,925,461	\$ 620,733	\$ 2,546,194			\$ 628,937		\$ 3,175,131
Northwest Maricopa RPC	36,522	52,125	9,359	\$ 3,483,674	\$ 3,064,049	\$ 6,547,723			\$ 1,617,358		\$ 8,165,081
South Phoenix RPC	42,198	60,226	22,317	\$ 4,025,089	\$ 7,306,376	\$ 11,331,465			\$ 2,798,994		\$ 14,130,459
Southwest Maricopa RPC	56,384	80,472	10,135	\$ 5,378,192	\$ 3,318,104	\$ 8,696,295			\$ 2,148,079		\$ 10,844,374
Southwest Maricopa RPC	8,843	12,621	3,179	\$ 843,500	\$ 1,040,775	\$ 1,884,275			\$ 465,436		\$ 2,349,711
TOTALS	459,923	643,763	145,931	\$43,026,000	\$49,086,000	\$92,112,000	\$ 1,487,340	\$ 6,363,000	\$ 22,752,660	\$	\$ 122,715,000